The -rt Patchset Was Used in Production Early On

- 2006: aggressive real-time on 64-bit systems
  - Real-time Linux kernel (x86_64, 4-8 processors, deadlines down to 70 microseconds, measured latencies less than 40 microseconds)
    - I only did RCU. Ingo Molnar, Sven Dietrich, K. R. Foley, Thomas Gleixner, Gene Heskett, Bill Huey, Esben Nielsen, Nick Piggin, Lee Revell, Steven Rostedt, Michal Schmidt, Daniel Walker, and Karsten Wiese did the real work, as did many others joining the project later on.
    - Plus a huge number of people writing applications, supporting customers, packaging distros, ...

- But some were not inclined to believe it, so...
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This message was not well-received in all quarters
- Despite cute cartoons...

The Limits of Hard Real Time in the Hard Real World

You show me a hard real-time system, and I will show you a hammer that will cause it to miss its deadlines.
Rest assured, sir, that should there be a failure, it will not be due to software!
I Believe That “SMP and Embedded Real Time” Has Stood the Test of Time

However, I Did Make One Big Error in “SMP and Embedded Real Time”
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- February 8, 2012
  - Dimitri Sivanic reports 200+ microsecond latency spikes from RCU
  - My initial response, based on lots of experience otherwise:
    - “You must be joking!!!”
  - Further down in Dimitri's email: NR_CPUS=4096
    - “You mean it took only 200 microseconds?”

- The large error: I was thinking in terms of 4-8 CPUs, maybe eventually as many as 16-32 CPUs
  - More than two orders of magnitude too small!!!
RCU Initialization

```
struct rcu_state

struct rcu_node

struct rcu_node

struct rcu_node

struct rcu_data
CPU 15

struct rcu_data
CPU 0

struct rcu_data
CPU 4095

struct rcu_data
CPU 4080

Level 0: 1 rcu_node
Level 1: 4 rcu_nodes
Level 2: 256 rcu_nodes
Total: 261 rcu_nodes
```
RCU Initialization, CONFIG_RCU_FANOUT=64

- **Level 0:** 1 rcu_node
- **Level 2:** 64 rcu_nodes
- **Total:** 65 rcu_nodes

Decreases latency from 200+ to 60-70 microseconds. “Barely acceptable” to users. But we can do better...
Move Grace-Period Initialization Into a kthread

Preemption opportunity between each rcu_node structure's initialization, negligible latency.
Move Grace-Period Initialization Into a kthread

Preemption opportunity between each rcu_node structure's initialization, negligible latency
But this represents a large change, so validating...
Coping With 4096-CPU System Scarcity
Other Possible Issues
Other Possible Issues

- The synchronize_*_expedited() primitives loop over all CPUs
  - Parallelize? Optimize for dyntick-idle state?

- The rcu_barrier() primitives loop over all CPUs
  - Parallelize? Avoid running on other CPUs?

- Should force_quiescent_state() make use of state in non-leaf rcu_node structures to limit scan?
  - This actually degrades worst-case behavior

- Grace-period initialization and cleanup loops over all rcu_node structures
  - Parallelize?

- NR_CPUS=4096 on small systems (RCU handles at boot)

- Interactions with scheduler (remember 3.0?)
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- They say that the best way to predict the future is to invent it
  I am here to tell you that even this method is not foolproof

- SMP, real time, and energy efficiency are each well known
  The real opportunities for new work involve combinations of them

- Some need for 10s-of-microseconds latency on 4096 CPUs
  Translates to mainstream need on tens or hundreds of CPUs
  - Supporting this is not impossible

- There is still much work to be done on the Linux kernel
  But even more work required for open-source applications

- The major large-system challenges are at the design level
  Pity that design issues receive little emphasis in the CS curriculum!!!
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About That Single Global Lock...

- Grace-period operations are global events
  - So if already running or being awakened, no action required

- This situation can be handled by a variation on a tournament lock (Graunke & Thakkar 1990)
  - A variation that does not share the poor performance noted by Graunke and Thakkar
Conditional Tournament Lock

- `struct rcu_state`
  - `struct rcu_node`
    - `gp_flags`
    - `spin_trylock()` at each level, release at next level
    - Checked at each level
Conditional Tournament Lock Code

```c
1 rnp = per_cpu_ptr(rsp->rda, raw_smp_processor_id())->mynode;
2 for (; rnp != NULL; rnp = rnp->parent) {
3   ret = (ACCESS_ONCE(rsp->gp_flags) & RCU_GP_FLAG_FQS) ||
4       !raw_spin_trylock(&rnp->fqslock);
5   if (rnp_old != NULL)
6     raw_spin_unlock(&rnp_old->fqslock);
7   if (ret) {
8     rsp->n_force_qs_lh++;
9     return;
10   }
11   rnp_old = rnp;
12 }
```
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1 rnp = per_cpu_ptr(rsp->rda, raw_smp_processor_id())->mynode;
2 for (; rnp != NULL; rnp = rnp->parent) {
3   ret = (ACCESS_ONCE(rsp->gp_flags) & RCU_GP_FLAG_FQS) ||
4       !raw_spin_trylock(&rnp->fqslock);
5   if (rnp_old != NULL)
6     raw_spin_unlock(&rnp_old->fqslock);
7   if (ret) {
8     rsp->n_force_qs_lh++;
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11   rnp_old = rnp;
12 }
```

Effectiveness TBD